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Abstract

The FMR1 gene is polymorphic for the length of CGG trinucleotide repeat expansions in the 5’ untranslated region. Premutation (55–200 CGG repeats) and full-mutation (>200 CGG repeats) alleles give rise to their respective disorders by different pathogenic mechanisms: RNA gain-of-function toxicity leads to fragile X–associated tremor/ataxia syndrome in the premutation range, and transcriptional silencing and absence of fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP) lead to fragile X syndrome in the full-mutation range. However, for the latter, incomplete silencing and/or size-mosaicism might result in some contribution to the disease process from residual messenger RNA production. To address this possibility, we examined the brains of 3 cases of fragile X syndrome for the presence of intranuclear inclusions in the hippocampal dentate gyrus. We identified low levels (0.1%–1.3%) of intranuclear inclusions in all 3 cases. Quantitative reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction for FMR1 messenger RNA and immunofluorescence for FMRP revealed low but detectable levels of both RNA and protein in the 3 cases, consistent with the presence of small numbers of inclusions. The intranuclear inclusions were only present in FMRP-immunoreactive cells. The small numbers of inclusions and very low levels of both FMR1 RNA and protein suggest that the clinical course in these 3 subjects would not have been influenced by contributions from RNA toxicity.
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INTRODUCTION

The FMR1 gene is polymorphic for CGG trinucleotide repeats on the 5’ untranslated region. In the general population, most individuals harbor FMR1 alleles with fewer than 45 CGG repeats, with a modal value of approximately 30 repeats. For individuals with more than 200 repeats (full-mutation range), the gene generally becomes transcriptionally silent (1–4), leading to fragile X syndrome (FXS), the leading single-gene cause of intellectual impairment and autism (5). CGG-repeat expansions in the premutation range (55–200 repeats) give rise to the leading known single-gene cause of primary ovarian insufficiency (5–7) and the late-onset neurodegenerative disorder, fragile X–associated tremor/ataxia syndrome (FXTAS). Fragile X–associated tremor/ataxia syndrome affects approximately 40% of males and 8% to 16% of females who carry the premutation identified through known fragile X probands (8–12). Finally, the premutation is also associated with psychiatric problems (13–16), neurodevelopmental problems (5, 7, 17, 18), and immunologic symptoms (19–21).

The pathogenesis of premutation-associated disorders, particularly FXTAS, is thought to be mediated by an RNA toxicity mechanism that involves expression of the expanded CGG-repeat FMR1 messenger RNA (mRNA) (22–25) (Fig. 1), which is analogous to the pathogenic mechanism causing myotonic dystrophy in which the expanded CUG-repeat dystonia myotonica protein kinase (DMPK) mRNA sequesters proteins that are involved with splice regulation (26). Thus, the mechanism underlying FXTAS (RNA toxicity) is entirely distinct from the mechanism for FXS, which is due to gene silencing and consequent absence of fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP) (Fig. 1). FMR1 mRNA is present within the intranuclear inclusions that are the neuropathologic hallmark of FXTAS (27–32). Consistent with the sequestration model of DM, functional protein sequestration has now also been observed for FXTAS (27). In this regard, although FXTAS has thus far been identified only in carriers of premutation alleles, it is possible that a minority of individuals with full-mutation alleles that retain some transcriptional activity (33–35), or who
Intranuclear Hippocampal Inclusions in Fragile X

Case 1
Patient manifested global developmental delay and was admitted to a residential facility at the age of 15 years. He was diagnosed with FXS at age 52, displaying on physical examination large, prominent ears, a long narrow face, macroorchidism, and a full-scale IQ of 34; at age 65, his full-scale IQ had fallen to 22. A chromosomal analysis at 52 years yielded 23% fragile X–positive metaphases. He was a social individual who befriended his caretakers and peers. He repeated words and phrases and required no psychotropic medications. He was diagnosed at age 77 with a gait ataxia and parkinsonism not related to FXS and began treatment with amantadine but without therapeutic benefit. A computed tomographic scan of the brain revealed reduced cerebral and cerebellar volumes compared with the general population, and ischemic white matter changes. Within the last 6 months of his life, he was diagnosed with dementia and died at 78 years. Genomic analysis of DNA isolated from postmortem brain tissue established his full-mutation status, with hypermethylated full-mutation alleles (CGG repeats of 339, 486, 619, 755, 938, 1225) (Table).

Case 2
Patient had experienced developmental delay in early childhood, with severe language deficits and the inability to speak in full sentences. He was hyperactive and anxious throughout childhood and showed excessive rocking, hand flapping, poor eye contact, tactile defensiveness, and destructive tantrums resulting in a diagnosis of autism. He entered a group home at age 30 years, where he required antipsychotic medications for behavior problems. Death at age 57 years was due to failed resuscitative efforts after choking. Molecular testing of postmortem brain tissue demonstrated the presence of a methylated full-mutation allele of 436 CGG repeats (Table).

Case 3
Patient had delayed development and physical features including long face, prominent ears, and macroorchidism, which led to a diagnosis of FXS. Abnormal behavioral features included anxiety and hand biting. He was shy but interactive, and he was able to speak in full sentences. He had savant skills

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Subjects
Clinical and neuropathologic characteristics of the 3 males with FXS have been described in detail elsewhere (37). Their case histories and molecular diagnostic features are summarized here.

**TABLE.** Molecular Characteristics and Inclusion Neuropathology of the 3 Adults With Fragile X Syndrome

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case Information</th>
<th>CGG</th>
<th>Methylation Status, %</th>
<th>FMR1 mRNA Levels</th>
<th>Inclusions in Dentate Gyrus*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>Neurons %</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 1</td>
<td>339; 486; 619; 755; 938; 1225</td>
<td>100†</td>
<td>0.003; 9.31e - 05</td>
<td>5 of 3085; 0.16; 4 of 900; 0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.008; 0.00015</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 2</td>
<td>436</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>0.001; 7.80e - 05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.027; 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Case 3</td>
<td>429; (340–440)‡</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>0.037; 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.016; 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Controls</td>
<td>All &lt;40</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Cortex</td>
<td>1.134; 0.065</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Cerebellum</td>
<td>0.831; 0.055</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Negative control</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>Cell Line</td>
<td>0; 0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*All fragile X syndrome cases had intranuclear inclusions in neurons and astrocytes of the dentate gyrus granule cell layer; none of the control cases (n = 5) had intranuclear inclusions.
†Any unmethylated alleles would be present at levels below the detection threshold of our method (~5%).
‡CGG repeat values in parentheses are unmethylated alleles as measured by Southern blot.
for trivia and he had a full-scale IQ of 57 in adulthood. At age 64, he developed a primary liver neoplasm and died within 3 months. Southern blot analysis of DNA isolated from post-mortem brain tissue showed methylation mosaicism, with a hypermethylated full-mutation allele of 429 CGG repeats present in 72% of the cells and unmethylated alleles ranging from approximately 340 to 440 CGG repeats present in the remaining cells (Table).

**Tissue Samples**

Tissue harvest and brain autopsies for all 3 cases were performed in accordance with University of California, Davis, Institutional Review Board-approved protocols. One hemisphere of the fresh brain was cut in coronal blocks that were separately frozen at $-80^\circ$C. The remaining hemisphere was fixed in 10% phosphate-buffered formalin. The formalin-fixed hemisphere was examined grossly for pathology. For this study, serial sections through the hippocampus proper were analyzed. Data reported are from a single section and standardized in location across cases, but similar results were identified in sections across the hippocampus. Hippocampi were analyzed because FXTAS cases have shown clear evidence of pathologic alterations in this region. Evaluations of FMRP immunoreactivity and colocalization of inclusions within FMRP-reactive cells were carried out in sections from the frontal cortex for comparison with FMR1 mRNA measurements from the frontal cortex because hippocampal tissue was unavailable for RNA or protein measurements.

**FMR1 Genotyping (CGG-Repeat Size Quantification)**

Genomic DNA was isolated from 500 mg of frozen cortex and cerebellum from each of the 3 cases using standard methods (Qiagen, Valencia, CA). In Cases 1 and 3, DNA was

---

**FIGURE 2.** Intranuclear inclusions in cases of fragile X syndrome (FXS). (A) Intranuclear inclusion in an astrocyte in the hippocampal hilus in Case 1. (B) Intranuclear inclusions in a neuron in the hilus of Case 2. (C) Granule cell with an intranuclear inclusion in the dentate gyrus of Case 2. (D) Pyramidal neuron in CA3c with an intranuclear inclusion in Case 3. All plates 1000× original magnification; the scale bar for large images is 50 μm. Insets are optical magnifications of the cells containing inclusions, indicated by white arrowheads.
also extracted from whole blood (3–5 ml). The results from CGG-repeat sizing from whole blood were consistent with those obtained in brain tissue. Repeat size and methylation status were determined by both PCR and Southern blot analysis using a FluorChem 8800 Image Detection System (Alpha Innotech, San Leandro, CA), as previously described (35).

For Southern blot analysis, 10 μg of DNA was digested with EcoRI and NruI, and the Stb12.3 FMR1 genomic sequence, labeled with Dig-11-dUTP, was used as the probe. Genomic DNA was also amplified by PCR using the c and f primers.

**FMR1 mRNA Level Quantification**

Total RNA was isolated from frontal cortex brain tissue using standard procedures (TRIzol; Invitrogen, Tigard, OR). Expression levels of the FMR1 gene and of the control gene, glucuronidase, were measured by real-time quantitative fluorescence reverse transcription–polymerase chain reaction (PCR) methods as previously described (33, 34, 37, 38).

**Histology and Immunofluorescence Staining**

Tissue blocks were processed for paraffin embedding, histochemical staining, and immunostaining by routine methodologies, including hematoxylin and eosin (H&E), Congo red, and Luxol fast blue–periodic acid Schiff stains and Bielschowsky impregnation. Immunocytochemical staining using antibodies against ubiquitin (Z0458), glial fibrillary acidic protein (Z0334), tau protein (PHF8; A0024), neurofilament (N1591), and β-amyloid precursor protein (M0872) (all from Dako, Carpinteria, CA) was performed using automated methods (Dako immunostainer), as previously reported (21, 28, 31, 37).

**Quantification of FMRP and Ubiquitin Reactivity**

To quantify the number of FMRP-reactive cells in the FXS cases, 200 cells were counted in layers II to IV of the frontal cortex at 400× magnification starting at the apex of the most prominent gyrus on the slide and proceeding medially into the sulcus, counting every cell in the field of view. The FMRP-reactive cells were counted if the immunoreactivity was associated with a DAPI-stained nucleus with a granular appearance and perinuclear pattern. The presence of intranuclear inclusions, as defined by ubiquitin-positive staining, was simultaneously quantified to allow for a direct comparison between the presence or the absence of FMRP and the presence of inclusions in the same cells. Intranuclear inclusions were defined as a clear, round, prominent ubiquitin signal inside a DAPI-stained nucleus and near a nucleolus. This method also allowed for a semiquantitative calculation of the numbers of FMRP-reactive cells that showed inclusions compared with non-FMRP–reactive cells.

**Quantification of Intranuclear Inclusions in the Hippocampus**

Because of an extremely low number and irregular distribution of intranuclear inclusions identified in the FXS cases on initial survey, it would not be appropriate to use stereological methods, which depend on an even distribution of inclusions throughout the region of interest (28). Therefore, to provide a semiquantitative estimate of inclusion numbers, a single coronal hippocampal section at the level of the lateral geniculate nucleus was selected from each case for consistency across cases. Every granule cell and astrocyte in the granule cell layer of the dentate gyrus in the section was counted at 1000× (H&E) to determine a percentage of cells with intranuclear inclusions. The granule cell layer was chosen because it has been shown previously in cases of males with FXS that approximately 1% to 7% of granule cells and 20% to 40% astrocytes in the granule cell layer show intranuclear inclusions (28); moreover, the dentate gyrus granule cell layer...
provided a clear region of interest to guide cell counting that was consistent across cases. Hippocampus sections from 5 age-matched, non-FXS control cases were counted using identical methods, and no intranuclear inclusions were observed in any control case. An experimenter blinded to the identity of all slides performed all cell counting.
RESULTS

Gross Examination
Brains of Cases 1 and 3 were grossly normal with mild cortical atrophy deemed appropriate for age. The brain of Case 2 showed moderate ventricular dilatation and prominent atrophy of the hippocampus and amygdala. The cerebella of all 3 cases appeared generally decreased in size. On midline sagittal sectioning of the vermis, both the superior and posterior lobar divisions were smaller compared with those of age-matched control brains. Further analyses of pathologic features in the cerebella are reported elsewhere (37).

Light Microscopy
In all 3 cases, the penetrating cortical arterioles of the temporal cortex showed vascular hyalinosis. These vessel walls were negative for amyloid birefringence with Congo red stain, but showed faint staining for \( \beta \)-amyloid precursor protein (not shown). Immunostains were also negative in brain parenchyma for \( \beta \)-amyloid, \( \alpha \)-synuclein, and tau, i.e. immunostains routinely used for the diagnosis of the common neurodegenerative disorders Alzheimer disease, Lewy body disease, and frontotemporal degeneration (data not shown).

On closer inspection of H&E-stained sections of the hippocampus, eosinophilic intranuclear inclusions were identified in neuronal and astrocytic nuclei of the hilus, CA1, and dentate gyrus of all 3 cases (Table). The percentages of granule cells and astrocytes with inclusions identified in these cases of FXS (0.1%–1.3% in astrocytes) are extremely low compared with the reported numbers of inclusions in the dentate gyrus granule cell layer in FXTAS (28, 29). The inclusions were ovoid, hyaline in appearance, between 2 and 5 \( \mu \)m in diameter, most often near the nucleolus (Figs. 2A–D), and stained positively for ubiquitin and were negative for Congo red and periodic acid Schiff and Bielschowsky; thus, they fit all criteria for intranuclear inclusions described previously in FXTAS, as defined by Greco et al (28, 29). Inclusions were also identified in granule cells in the cerebellum but were not quantified (data not shown).

FMRP and Ubiquitin Immunofluorescent Staining
To verify whether there was detectable tissue expression of FMRP, we performed immunofluorescence staining on 5-\( \mu \)m-thick paraffin sections from frontal cortex. Positive staining was present in all 3 cases based on FMRP-positive staining surrounding DAPI-stained nuclei; approximately 15% of cells were positive in Cases 1 and 2 and 22% of cells in Case 3 (Figs. 3A–C, F). In contrast, the \( Fmr1 \) knockout mouse negative control showed no detectable FMRP immunoreactivity (Fig. 3D) and positive control sections from wild-type mice and age-matched control cases without FXS showed FMRP reactivity in a clear majority of cells (>80%, non-FXS controls; Fig. 3E). The non-FXS human control sections from which primary antibody was omitted and the control tissues that were not incubated with primary antibody did not show high levels of lipofuscin-related fluorescence, indicating that the copper (II) sulfate treatment was sufficient to reduce auto-fluorescence that might have confounded interpretation of the results in these tissues. The results, including all controls, were confirmed using 2 separate antibodies targeting either the C- or N-terminal regions of FMRP and did not differ depending on which anti-FMRP antibody was used. It was also noted that the pattern of FMRP reactivity within cells appeared distinct in the cases with FXS compared with the control tissue. In the 3 FXS cases, FMRP staining appeared to display a more prominent perinuclear pattern rather than the typical, broader distribution throughout the soma and proximal portion of the apical dendrites observed in age-matched control cases stained in parallel (Fig. 3E). Case 1 showed FMRP reactivity in approximately 11% of cells counted, and all showed a perinuclear staining pattern. In addition, the red ubiquitin immunofluorescence in Case 1 was relatively high in the cytoplasm, often adjacent to green FMRP immunofluorescence, resulting in a yellow-green cytoplasmic staining pattern (Fig. 3Aa). Intranuclear inclusions were clearly visible as bright, round, red puncta within a DAPI-stained nucleus in only FMRP-reactive cells. Case 2 showed FMRP reactivity similar to Case 1, with a clear perinuclear pattern in 14% of the cells counted as well as ubiquitin reactivity in the cytoplasm; although in Case 2, the ubiquitin reactivity did not appear consistently adjacent to the FMRP reactivity. Intranuclear inclusions were clearly visible as bright, round, red puncta within a DAPI-stained nucleus in only FMRP-reactive cells. Case 3 showed a greater number of FMRP-reactive cells (22%), which was expected because this case was shown to have methylation mosaicism. The ubiquitin signal in Case 3 was lower than that in the other 2 cases but was also constrained to a perinuclear pattern. Interestingly, there was a subset of cells in Case 3 that demonstrated a relatively normal FMRP reactivity pattern, such that the cytoplasm was filled with FMRP reactivity and there was a hint of straining into the apical dendrites. An example with an intranuclear inclusion is shown in Figure 3Cc. In all 3 FXS cases, ubiquitin-positive intranuclear inclusions were present only in cells showing FMRP reactivity (Figs. 3A–C, F). Quantification of the number of FMRP-reactive cells and intranuclear inclusions is tabulated in Figure 3F. It is important to note that intranuclear inclusions were only present in FMRP-reactive cells in the FXS cases and never present in non-FXS control cases.

FIGURE 3. Intranuclear inclusions colocalize with fragile X mental retardation protein (FMRP)-reactive cells in fragile X syndrome (FXS). (A) Ubiquitin (red) and FMRP (green) immunoreactivity in Case 1. (a) Optical enlargement of inclusions in FMRP-reactive cells. (B) Ubiquitin and FMRP immunoreactivity in Case 2. (b) Optical enlargement of inclusions in FMRP-reactive cells. (C) FMRP immunoreactivity in Case 3. (c) Optical enlargement of inclusions in FMRP-reactive cells. (D) \( Fmr1 \) knockout mouse negative control. (E) Non-FXS control case stained for ubiquitin and FMRP. Ubiquitin immunoreactivity is not sufficiently high to be visualized. (F) Quantification of FMRP-reactive cells and ubiquitin-positive intranuclear inclusions in 200 cells counted. In no cases were inclusions identified in FMRP-non-reactive cells or were inclusions identified in non-FXS control cases. All tissues were treated to reduce lipofuscin-related fluorescence. Scale bar: 100 \( \mu \)m in (A) applies to (A–E).
Genotyping and mRNA Levels

The diagnosis of FXS in the 3 cases was conducted using PCR techniques. Southern blot analysis clearly showed the presence of methylation mosaicism in Case 3, although no apparent mosaicism was detected in the other cases. However, the detection limit of the Southern blot analysis may have masked a very small percentage of cells carrying an unmethylated premutation or full-mutation allele (<5%; Table). Measurements of *FMR1* mRNA expression in both frontal cortex and cerebellum revealed very low expression levels (Table), consistent with the presence of a small percent of unmethylated alleles and therefore mosaicism. In addition, we observed correspondingly low *FMR1* mRNA expression (<2%; Table), in agreement with the very low percentages of cells bearing intranuclear inclusions (<1%) and showing FMRP-positive immunofluorescence (<1%). Importantly, in the present study, it is possible to make comparisons between the *FMR1* mRNA levels, FMRP levels, and the presence of inclusions because the quantification of *FMR1* mRNA levels, FMRP immunoreactivity, and the presence of intranuclear inclusions were all made in the frontal cortex.

**DISCUSSION**

We have detected small numbers of intranuclear inclusions in granule cell and astrocytic cell populations in the dentate gyrus of the hippocampus of 3 older adult cases with FXS. We were also able to identify the presence of intranuclear inclusions in the frontal cortex of each case with FXS. Although the presence of such inclusions is generally considered to be restricted to the premutation range (wherein the *FMR1* gene is active and producing elevated levels of expanded CGG-repeat containing *FMR1* mRNA), it had remained a formal possibility that either residual transcriptional activity of unmethylated full-mutation alleles (methylation mosaicism) (34) or the existence of small numbers of transcriptionally active premutation alleles (size mosaicism) could give rise to occasional inclusion-bearing cells in FXS (Fig. 1). Indeed, mice with unmethylated, full-mutation alleles do possess small numbers of intranuclear inclusions (42). Interestingly, the *Fmr1* gene remains fully unmethylated above 200 CGG repeats in CGG knock-in mice (42, 43). The present study provides the first report of intranuclear inclusions in full-mutation carriers with FXS, supporting the idea that even low expression levels of premutation- or full-mutation length *FMR1* mRNA are sufficient to promote intranuclear inclusion formation. In the CGG knock-in mouse model, the existence of intranuclear inclusions for alleles with more than 200 CGG repeats is consistent with an RNA-based mechanism for cellular toxicity and intranuclear inclusion formation (25, 26), because the *Fmr1* gene retains transcriptional activity (42). Importantly, the mouse model suggests that the premutation- and full-mutation length alleles themselves, and not necessarily elevated *Fmr1* expression levels, are sufficient to result in inclusion formation, albeit at relatively low levels (42).

The RNA-based model of inclusion formation raises the expectation that there is at least residual transcriptional activity in the 3 FXS cases. Indeed, measurement of bulk *FMR1* mRNA yielded low but detectable levels of *FMR1* mRNA in the frontal cortex of each of the FXS cases studied. Using immunofluorescent staining, we also detected very low levels of FMRP immunoreactivity as a measure of gene activity in the same brain regions where the *FMR1* mRNA levels were quantified (i.e. frontal cortex). The residual *FMR1* mRNA and FMRP protein expressions do not permit definition of the type of mosaicism giving rise to residual expression and inclusion formation; however, Southern blot analysis of these 3 cases suggests the presence of both size- and methylation-mosaicism in at least one of them, i.e. Case 3.

The absence of ubiquitin-positive intranuclear inclusions in cells negative for FMRP reactivity is important because it supports the models proposing that the expanded (premutation or full mutation) *FMR1* mRNA is critical for inclusion formation. On the basis of a semiquantitative analysis, it seems that, within the population of FMRP-reactive cells, the numbers of intranuclear inclusions are similar to those previously reported in FXTAS cases (i.e. 10%-20%). This finding is important because the relatively low number of inclusions in the hippocampi of these FXS cases most likely reflects the relative sparseness of FMRP reactivity seen in the cortex.

It should be noted that the presence of rare intranuclear inclusions in a predominantly full-mutation background in the context of FXS does not imply that such intranuclear inclusions are indicators of any clinically important neurodegenerative process similar to that seen in FXTAS. Rather, the presence of intranuclear inclusions in the context of *FMR1* gene expression (albeit at a reduced level) most likely reflects cellular dysfunction within the small number of cells that harbor the unmethylated full-mutation- and/or premutation-sized alleles. An important consideration for future studies of individuals with the full mutation and FXS is whether continued transcription of unmethylated full-mutation alleles (34) could result in a distinct, but related, neurodegenerative process to FXTAS, or whether such unmethylated alleles contribute to susceptibility or generation of a completely separate neurodegenerative disorder unrelated to FXTAS. Although movement disorders have been reported in 38.6% of aging individuals (males; n = 44) with FXS (44), at present there is no evidence that such additional neurologic diseases are related to mosaicism of the *FMR1* gene. Moreover, no pathologic anatomic features have been reported in FXS cases that would suggest features indicative of a movement disorder (44). Importantly, parkinsonism was reported in 9% of the males (n = 44) in the study of Utari et al (44). For the current study, it is likely that Case 1 had parkinsonian features (37), but these were unrelated to the methylation mosaicism on the *FMR1* gene.

Furthermore, it should be emphasized that none of the 3 FXS cases demonstrated FXTAS symptoms, including peripheral neuropathy or intention tremor; nor did they have Bergmann gliosis or white matter hyperintensity in the middle cerebellar peduncles. The lack of FXTAS features, in conjunction with the clear presence of FXS symptoms, strongly suggests these FXS cases did not manifest neurodegenerative features similar to those of FXTAS. Further clinical-molecular studies of aging patients with the full mutation, both with and without mosaicism, are needed to assess the importance and the functional role of rare intranuclear inclusion formation in patients with FXS. The fact that inclusions, although few, can be found in some cases of FXS despite extremely low levels
of full-mutation FMR1 mRNA would suggest that elevated FMR1 mRNA levels are not directly responsible for inclusion formation; rather, even low levels of FMR1 mRNA may be sufficient to support the formation of inclusions.
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